

Build Software Safely!

17-313 Fall 2024 Foundations of Software Engineering <u>https://cmu-17313q.github.io</u> Eduardo Feo Flushing





Learning Goals

- Learn to discuss risk in a project
- Strategize about ways to mitigate risk
- Learn to get early feedback to reduce risk
- Find ways to catch our technical errors





2

Administrivia

Recover the points you lost in P2A. This is the procedure:

- 1. Check the P2A feedback
- 2. Fix the project plan according to the feedback provided
- 3. Contact your mentor on Slack to inform them you have resolved the issues. Explain the modifications you made and how they address the deficiencies.
- Midterm Next Sunday, October 6th
- Review Session: Thursday during Recitation





Smoking Section

•Last **two** full rows







Risk







Definition: Risk

Risk is a measure of the potential inability to achieve overall program objectives within defined cost, schedule, and technical constraints.



row, Source Effective Risk Management: Some Keys to Success, 2nd ed. Reston, VA, USA: American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics (AIAA).



Risk is defined by two key components





The probability (or likelihood) of failing to achieve a particular outcome

The consequences (or impact) of failing to achieve that outcomes



B. Effective Risk Management: Some Keys to Success, 2nd ed. Reston, VA, USA: American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics (AIAA).

Internal vs. External Risk



Risks that we can control



Risks that we cannot control





Levels of Risk Management

- 1. **Crisis management:** Fire fighting; address risks only after they have become major problems.
- 2. **Fix on failure:** Detect and react to risks quickly, but only after they have occurred.
- 3. **Risk mitigation:** Plan ahead of time to provide resources to cover risks if they occur, but do nothing to eliminate them in the first place.
- 4. **Prevention:** Implement and execute a plan as part of the software project to identify risks and prevent them from becoming problems.
- 5. **Elimination of root causes:** Identify and eliminate factors that make it possible for risks to exist at all.

Levels of Risk Management



• You wait until the fire is visible and then call the fire department to put it out.

2. Fix on failure

• You have smoke detectors that alert you to the fire, and you react quickly once it's detected.

3. Risk mitigation

• You install fire extinguishers and sprinklers to reduce the damage when a fire occurs but take no steps to prevent the fire.

4. Prevention

• You install smoke detectors, inspect wiring, and remove fire hazards to reduce the chance of a fire starting.

5. Elimination of root causes:

• You build the house with fireproof materials and remove all potential fire hazards to prevent the fire from ever occurring.





Levels of Risk Management

- 1. **Crisis management:** Fire fighting; address risks only after they have become problems.
- 2. **Fix on failure:** Detect and react to risks quickly, but only after they have occurred.
- 3. **Risk mitigation:** Plan ahead of time to provide resources to cover risks if they occur, but do nothing to eliminate them in the first place.
- Prevention: Implement and execute a plan as part of the software project to identify risks and prevent them from becoming problems.
 Elimination of root causes: Identify and eliminate factors that

larnegie

iversity

 Elimination of root causes: Identify and eliminate factors that make it possible for risks to exist at all.



Risk Management







Risk Management







Team Exercise: Risk Identification

• What risks exist for the scooter app?







Risk assessment matrix



TABLE III. Risk assessment matrix

	RISK ASSESSMENT MATRIX						
SEVERITY	Catastrophic (1)	Critical (2)	Marginal (3)	Negligible (4)			
Frequent (A)	High	High	Serious	Medium			
Probable (B)	High	High	Serious	Medium			
Occasional (C)	High	Serious	Medium	Low			
Remote (D)	Serious	Medium	Medium	Low			
Improbable (E)	Medium	Medium	Medium	Low			
Eliminated (F)		Elimi	nated				





• MIL-STD-882E



Aviation failure impact categories

- No effect failure has no impact on safety, aircraft operation, or crew workload
- **Minor** failure is noticeable, causing passenger inconvenience or flight plan change
- **Major** failure is significant, causing passenger discomfort and slight workload increase
- **Hazardous** high workload, serious or fatal injuries
- Catastrophic loss of critical function to safely fly and land



Risk Analysis

Risk	Probability (%)	Size of Loss (weeks)	Risk Exposure (weeks)
Overly optimistic schedule	50%	5	2.5
Additional features added by marketing (specific features unknown)	35%	8	2.8
Project approval takes longer than expected	25%	4	1.0
Management-level progress reporting takes more developer time than expected	10%	1	0.1
New programming tools do not produce the promised savings	30%	5	1.5
Total			12



Exercise: Risk Analysis

• What is the risk probability and severity for your scooter app?

Frequent, Probable, Not so often, almost never Extensive, Major, Medium, Minor, No Impact







Risk Prioritization Focus on risks with the highest exposure



19





Risk Management







Risk Control

- What steps can be taken to avoid or mitigate the risk?
- Can you better understand and forecast the risk?
- Who will be responsible for monitoring and addressing the risk?
- Have risks evolved over time?
- Bake risks into your schedule
 - Don't assume that nothing will go wrong between now and the end of the semester!

versity



Pre-mortems

• "unlike a typical critiquing session, in which project team members are asked what *might* go wrong, the premortem operates on the assumption that the 'patient' has died, and so asks what *did* go wrong."

Performing a Project Premortem

by Gary Klein

From the Magazine (September 2007)

4	f	in		E	6
Tweet	Post	Share	Save	Buy Copies	Print

Summary. Reprint: F0709A in a premortem, team members assume that the project they are planning has just failed—as so many do—and then generate plausible reasons for its demise. Those with reservations may speak freely at the outset, so that the project can be... more





Discussion: Risk Elimination and Mitigation

• How can you eliminate/mitigate risk for your scooter app?







The Swiss cheese model



Carnegie Mellon University

Can we remove human error?

25





Why do we make mistakes?

26



"Chock-full of fascinating examples."

HOW WE LOOK WITHOUT SEEING, FORGET THINGS IN SECONDS, AND ARE ALL PRETTY SURE WE ARE WAY ABOVE AVERAGE

JOSEPH T. HALLINAN





Generalization

 ...in the words of psychologist Tom Stafford, we can't find our typos because we're engaging in a high-level task in writing. Our brains generalize simple, component parts to focus on complex tasks, so essentially we can't catch the small details because we're focused on a large task.

https://medium.com/swlh/why-we-miss-our-own-typos-96ab2f06afb7





Boredom can give rise to errors, adverse patient events, and decreased productivity—costly and unnecessary outcomes for consumers, employees, and organizations alike. As a function of boredom, individuals may feel over-worked or under-employed, and become distracted, stressed, or disillusioned. Staff who are bored also are less likely to engage with or focus on their work.

Original Articles Boredom in the Workplace: Reasons, Impact, and Solutions

Michelle Cleary 🐱 , PhD, RN, Jan Sayers , PhD, RN, Violeta Lopez , PhD, RN & Catherine Hungerford , PhD, RN Pages 83-89 | Received 24 Jun 2015, Accepted 13 Aug 2015, Published online: 10 Feb 2016

Check for updates

66 Download citation 2 https://doi.org/10.3109/01612840.2015.1084554

🔓 Full Article 🖾 Figures & data 🗧 References 😘 Citations 📠 Metrics 🖨 Reprints & Permissions 🛛 Get access

Abstract

Boredom in the workplace is not uncommon, and has been discussed widely in the academic literature in relation to the associated costs to individuals and organizations. Boredom can give rise to errors, adverse patient events, and decreased productivity—costly and unnecessary outcomes for consumers, employees, and organizations alike. As a function of boredom, individuals may

Related rese



Boredom at work spillover model of work motivation boredom >





Cognitive Load

 ..." students who switch back and forth between attending to a classroom lecture and checking e-mail, Facebook, and IMing with friends"

Article birton



	Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect	
	Computers & Education	
FISEVIER	journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/compedu	
Laptop multita Faria Sana ^a , Tina V	sking hinders classroom learning for both users and ^{Neston b.c} , Nicholas J. Cepeda ^{b.c.} *	l near





catch Can we remove human error?

Can we catch human error before we ship our code?₃₀ Can we automate tasks to prevent problems?







	in the Costs Townships founding
and the second sec	Biftinchoterra Eraciando tentados
	Da notare circa a la figura di pacia o polta de lui pinditi numeri Di al tinea prima diaccomença dacua fun a.r.odicundo colit25,44-5,67-83-94-06 finitero aci- ticcondo (pacto al numero pel primo referirari bancrai la prima focci pel pro- porti multiplici ciocerupita, e cal primo referirari di numero pel ten so (pacto al rai la feconda foccio pel a multiplici cioce rupita
	Te fe al terco el quarto cioe. 4.a.3. ferquitertia. E fe al quarto lo quito cioe. 5.a.4. Serqu
234567891	quarta e cofi in lattre fequi. CiPa fe al numero Ol terço ipacio compererat el numero del qu
4 0 8 10 12 14 10 18 2	to fpacio cioe.5.a.3.bauerai la paima ipede beta propositione inper participar el continuo referirai ci
6 19 12 15 18 21 24 27 3	particus tertias. E le al numero del quarto ipene inperpartiete cioe inpertriparties qu
8 12 16 20 24 28 32 36 4	7.a.4.15 auter ar la reconcera iperte de nuo del nono cioe noue a.5. farat la terça fpeçie de la fun
10 15 20 25 30 35 40 45 00	particle cioe fuper quadriparties quintas. E fe al numero del fecondo el numero del o
12 18 24 30 36 42 48 54 00	to doe.5.a.2. faraila prima specie de la proportione multiplier inperparticular e doe of
14 21 28 35 42 49 56 63 70	felquialtera. E le a quel medelimo el teptimo cioc. 7.4.2.11 pra reclama foecie pe la prope
6 24 32 40 48 56 64 72 80	ro bel terco li compara el numero de loctado trocisationarias . E comportat p te piu o
8 27 36 45 54 63 72 81 90	ne multiplici toper particle cioe oupra inperen undecimo quando ui foffe farefti lalut
0 30 4050 60 70 80 90 100	forcie petra pupla fupertri parties quartas cioe. 11 quando piu oltra volelle proceder
delet a terret	E coli commo babiamo petto pe li numeri posti nela prima riga secondo li medeli
	abi comparado ancora le lince inferiozi quelle medelime specie te baranno coe na
	la prima bai baunte, epero tu per te fequirai. 7C.
	Cleftermino elqual fe ula in ocnominare mone operior de propertiente
AL AND	qui non importa altro (a te pratico)ieno a più conto cante e protecti e
	cie tronato. Et cit vi impar de munipicando integre de fina che fulano al i
ALL THE PROPERTY OF A	and tylable a duction of tomo of the menore inconsisting in prepone a quelle
	care 70.2103 et no el a canan e respecte o la menore une pecte interpolto io no mu
and the second second	De proportionalitatibus tra, 2º ferte Diftin.arti.p".
	Zuendo a hafranca pe le reopoztioni parlato e quelle piute fine a le l





Double entry accounting



Details	Date	Income	Expenses	Balance	
Building Loan	7/1		2200	26800	
Utilities	7/1		950	25850	



Date	Pate Fund/Account	Credit	Debit	Assets		Liabilities	Balance
				Cash	Other		
				\$75,000	\$9,000	\$55,000	\$29,000
7/1	Mortgage Company	\$2,200				\$52,800	
	Building Fund		\$2,200	\$47,800			\$26,800
Utilities 7/1	Local Electric & Water Coop	\$950					
	Building Fund		\$950	\$46,850			\$25,850
	Date 7/1 7/1	Date Fund/Account 7/1 Mortgage Company 8 Building Fund 7/1 Local Electric & Water Coop Building Fund Building Fund	Date Fund/Account Credit 7/1 Mortgage Company \$2,200 7/1 Mortgage Company \$2,200 Building Fund 7/1 Local Electric & Water Coop \$950 Building Fund Building Fund \$950 \$950	Date Fund/Account Credit Debit 7/1 Mortgage Company \$2,200 7/1 Mortgage Company \$2,200 7/1 Local Electric & Water Coop \$950 Building Fund \$950	Date Fund/Account Credit Debit Ass Image: Company S2,200 S75,000 S75,000 7/1 Mortgage Company S2,200 S2,200 S47,800 7/1 Local Electric & Water Coop \$950 S46,850 S46,850	Date Fund/Account Credit Debit As>ts Image: Company S2,200 \$75,000 \$9,000 7/1 Mortgage Company \$2,200 \$47,800 7/1 Building Fund \$2,200 \$47,800 7/1 Local Electric & Water Coop \$950 \$46,850	Date Fund/Account Credit Debit Ass+t Liabilities A A Credit Cash Other A A A A S75,000 \$9,000 \$55,000 7/1 Mortgage Company \$2,200 Credit A \$52,800 7/1 Building Fund S2,200 \$47,800 \$52,800 7/1 Local Electric & Water Coop \$950 \$47,800 Credit Building Fund S950 \$46,850 Credit Credit





Approach: Automate what we can Review what we cannot





CI/CD Pipeline overview







Continuous Integration:

Catch mistakes before you push your code!

35





History of CI



(1999) Extreme Programming (XP) rule: "Integrate Often"



(2000) Martin Fowler posts "<u>Continuous Integration</u>" blog

©cruisecontrol. (2001) First CI tool

Senkins (2005) Hudson/Jenkins

🚭 Travis Cl (2011) Travis Cl



(2019) GitHub Actions





Example CI Workflow



Source: https://innerjoin.bit.io/making-a-simple-data-pipeline-part-4-ci-cd-with-github-actions-733251f211a6





Example CI/CD Workflow







CI Research

Trade-Offs in Continuous Integration: Assurance, Security, and Flexibility

Michael Hilton Oregon State University, USA mhilton@cmu.edu Nicholas Nelson Oregon State University, USA nelsonni@oregonstate.edu Timothy Tunnell University of Illinois, USA tunnell2@illinois.edu

Carnegie

Mellon University

Darko Marinov University of Illinois, USA marinov@illinois.edu Danny Dig Oregon State University, USA digd@oregonstate.edu

"523 complete responses, and a total of 691 survey responses from over 30 countries. Over 50% of our participants had over 10 years of software development experience, and over 80% had over 4 years of experience."



Do developers on projects with CI give (more/similar/less) value to automated tests?





Carne<u>gie</u>

Melloñ Universitv

Do developers on projects with CI give (more/similar/less) value to automated tests? Do projects with CI have (higher/similar/lower) test quality?





Carnegie

Melloñ Universitv

Do developers on projects with CI give (more/similar/less) value to automated tests? Do projects with CI have (higher/similar/lower) test quality? **Do projects with CI have (higher/similar/lower) code quality?**





Carnegie

Mellon University

Do developers on projects with CI give (more/similar/less) value to automated tests? Do projects with CI have (higher/similar/lower) test quality? Do projects with CI have (higher/similar/lower) code quality? **Are developers on projects with CI (more/similar/less) productive?**





Carnegie

Vlellon University

Challenge: Flaky Tests

"Google has around 4.2 million tests that run on our continuous integration system. Of these, around 63 thousand have a flaky run over the course of a week"



https://testing.googleblog.com/2017/04/where-do-our-flaky-tests-come-from.html



Carnegie

Mellon University



Observation

CI helps us catch errors before others see them

45





For problems we can't easily automate, we can perform code review





Risk Analysis

- Probability a human makes a mistake: Very Likely
- Severity: ranges, but could be extensive

Solution:

Use **CI** to catch your mistakes, make you look better, and mitigate your risks!

Use **code reviews** to teach and learn (*next lecture*)



larnegie

niversity

